

	<b>Strategy</b>	<b>Environment</b>
---	-----------------	--------------------

Title: **Technical Evaluation Criteria for Provision of dust fall out monitoring services at Matla Power station for a period of 36 months.**

Unique Identifier: **N/A**

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Environment**

Documentation Type: **Report**


Revision: **0**

Total Pages:

Next Review Date: **2026**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

**Compiled by**

  
.....

**Bethuel Moeng**  
**Senior Advisor**  
**Environmental**  
**Matla Power Station**

Date: 07/01/2026  
.....

**Supported By**

  
.....

**Lutendo Murovhi**  
**Environmental Manager (Acting)**  
**Matla Power Station**

Date: 07/01/2026  
.....

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This strategy outlines the technical Evaluation Criteria which will be used by the Tender Evaluation Team members during evaluation process. The strategy discusses the quantitative criteria's to be followed during Technical Evaluation from the tender returnable in order to select the successful company.

**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	2
CONTENTS .....	3
1. INTRODUCTION .....	4
1.1 SCOPE .....	4
Purpose .....	4
1.1.1 Applicability.....	4
1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	4
1.2.1 Normative .....	4
1.2.2 Informative.....	4
1.3 DEFINITIONS.....	4
1.3.1 Classification .....	4
1.4 ABBREVIATIONS.....	5
1.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	5
1.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING .....	5
1.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	5
2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT .....	5
2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY .....	5
2.1.1 Mandatory Technical Requirements .....	5
3. TET MEMBERS.....	8
3.1 TENDER TECHNICAL RETURNABLES RECEIVED .....	8
3.2 TECHNICAL CLARIFICATIONS .....	8
3.3 TECHNICAL EVALUATION RESULTS.....	8
3.3.1 Summary of evaluation results .....	8
3.3.2 Interpretation of evaluation results .....	8
3.3.2.1 Mandatory Evaluation Results .....	8
3.3.2.2 Qualitative Evaluation Results .....	8
3.4 TECHNICAL INPUTS FOR PRICE ADJUSTMENTS .....	8
3.5 CONCLUSIONS .....	9
4. AUTHORISATION.....	9
5. REVISIONS .....	9
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM .....	9
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	9
8. APPENDIX 1: INDIVIDUAL SCORING FORMS .....	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Matla Power Station is intending to request Contractors to tender for a service for Provision of dust fall out monitoring services at Matla Power station for a period of 36 months.

Supporting Clauses

### **1.1 SCOPE**

Provision of dust fall out monitoring services at Matla Power station for a period of 36 months.

#### **Purpose**

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to outline the Technical Evaluation Criteria that will be used to evaluate the tender returnable from various service providers. The outcome of the evaluation criteria will be the technical evaluation report which will be containing the recommendations of tenderers that met the minimum technical threshold thus deemed technically suitable for the provision for the required services.

The evaluation report will also outline the tenderers which could not meet either mandatory requirements or their final score(s) below the minimum technical threshold of 80% therefore deemed technically not suitable to provide the required services conducted by Technical Evaluation team (TET).

#### **1.1.1 Applicability**

This document applies to Matla Power Station Provision of dust fall out monitoring services at Matla Power station for a period of 36 months scope of work.

### **1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES**

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

#### **1.2.1 Normative**

[1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

#### **1.2.2 Informative**

[2] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

### **1.3 DEFINITIONS**

#### **1.3.1 Classification**

- a. **Confidential:** the classification given to information that may be used by malicious/opposing/hostile elements to harm the objectives and functions of Eskom Holdings Limited.

#### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

---

## **1.4 ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Description</b>
B-BBEE	Broad- Based Black Economic Empowerment
KPI	Key performance Index
SD&L	Supplier Development and Localisation
SHE	Safety , Health & Environmental
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

## **1.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

The roles and responsibilities as outlined in the procedure 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure will be applicable.

## **1.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING**

N/A

## **1.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

Scope of work for precipitator maintenance (repairs, inspections, etc) and opportunity outage scope of work

## **2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT**

### **2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY**

The Tenders will be evaluated as per the Technical Evaluation Criteria provided below. The following criteria will be evaluated for the tenders received.

- 1.
2. Qualitative technical Requirements

The technical criteria will consist of only qualitative technical Requirements.

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 80%.

#### **2.1.1 Mandatory Technical Requirements**

SANS accreditation certificate for the dust fall out analysis or /and Service Level agreement with Accredited Laboratory is a mandatory requirement included for Provision of dust fall out monitoring services at Matla Power station for a period of 36 months

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

### 3.1.2 Qualitative Technical Requirements

Qualitative forms the second step in the evaluation process with a weighting of 100%. The tenderer will be required to score the overhaul score of 80% as a minimum in order to be deemed technically compliant/suitable. These requirements consist of four (4) sub-criteria each with a weighting as shown in the table below.

**Table 1: Qualitative Technical Criteria Weightings**

#### Technical Evaluation Criteria:

Generic Criteria for guidance	Scoring guidelines (specific to the project and based on scope and User's requirements)	Weight	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Company Related Experience</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Professional experience in similar projects (Company and/or Individuals)/Company profile</li><li>Proof of at least three (3) verifiable relevant references to be provided for company experience</li><li>Proof of previous orders/contracts for similar work</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>5 years' experience and above = 40%</li><li>Between 4 – less than 5 years' experience = 30%</li><li>Between 3 – less than 4 years' experience = 20%</li><li>Between 2 – less than 3 years' experience = 10%</li><li>Less than 2 years experience = 0%</li></ul>	40%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Personnel Experience and Registration</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>At least two CV's of the professional team with relevant Professional Registration</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>CV provided and no professional registration = 0%</li><li>CV with minimum of 3 years' experience with candidate but without Sacnasp professional Registration = 15%</li><li>CV with above 3 years' experience provided with Sacnasp professional registration = 30%</li></ul>	30%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Understating of SOW</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>High level proposal of the works including</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>High level proposal submitted demonstrating understanding of the scope of work = 20%</li><li>SoW/Method statement/procedure for carrying out the sampling provided but does not meet legal requirements provided = 10%</li><li>No method statement/procedure submitted = 0%</li></ul>	20%

**TENDER TECHNICAL: Dust fall out monitoring services at Matla  
Power station**

Unique Identifier:

Revision: 0

Page: **7 of 9**

• Itemised Pricelist	• Provide Itemised Pricelist	• No price provided = 0% • Price list not provided only a total figure provided = 5% • Itemised price list provided =10%	10%
Total		100	
Minimum Threshold required		80%	
<b>Gatekeeper:</b> SANS accreditation certificate for the dust fall out analysis or /and Service Level agreement with Accredited Laboratory			

### **3. TET MEMBERS.**

There will be **Two** Evaluation Team Members for this Tender.

TET- 1	Bethuel Moeng
TET- 2	Lutendo Murovhi

#### **3.1 TENDER TECHNICAL RETURNABLES RECEIVED**

N/A

#### **3.2 TECHNICAL CLARIFICATIONS**

##### **4.2.1 Summarise all clarification requests**

N/A

##### **4.2.2 Discuss / Summarise tender clarification responses**

N/A

##### **4.2.3 Include all Clarification Session Minutes in Appendix, if applicable**

N/A

#### **3.3 TECHNICAL EVALAUTION RESULTS**

##### **3.3.1 Summary of evaluation results**

The summary of evaluation results will be available up completion of the tender evaluation

##### **3.3.2 Interpretation of evaluation results**

Not applicable at this stage

###### **3.3.2.1 Mandatory Evaluation Results**

Not applicable at this stage

###### **3.3.2.2 Qualitative Evaluation Results**

Not applicable at this stage

#### **3.4 TECHNICAL INPUTS FOR PRICE ADJUSTMENTS**

N/A

#### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.



3.5 CONCLUSIONS

The Technical Evaluation Criteria will be used as the final document to technically score(s) the tenderer. The final results will be combined from the TET evaluators and averaged to conclude the results. The suppliers with final weighted scores of 80% or more, will be deemed technically suitable. suppliers scored below 80% threshold will be deemed not technically suitable.

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
• Bethuel Moeng	Senior Advisor Environmental	
• Lutendo Murovhi	Environmental Manager ( Acting)	

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
2025/010/16	0	KB Moeng	Final Document For Technical Evaluation Criteria

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Bethuel Moeng
- Lutendo Murovhi

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.